



## ÜBUNGSBLATT 2

1. Proof Theorem 2.3.5 from the lecture notes.

*Hint:* Consider an SDE that starts in  $X_s$  and use the representation of the strong solution in terms of Brownian sample paths and initial conditions.

2. Let

$$\mathcal{M}^2 := \{M = (M_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)} : M \text{ is a martingale with right-continuous sample paths, } M_0 = 0, \sup_{t \geq 0} E|M_t|^2 < \infty \}$$

be the space of square integrable, right-continuous martingales on  $[0, \infty)$  starting in 0. For  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  the limit

$$M_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t$$

exists almost surely and in  $L^2$ . The space  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is a Hilbert space when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle M, N \rangle := E[M_\infty N_\infty].$$

If  $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[M_t] = E[M_\infty]$  and  $M_t = E[M_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t]$  by uniform integrability. We call  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}^2$  weakly orthogonal if  $\langle M, N \rangle = 0$  and strongly orthogonal if the product  $MN$  is a martingale.

- i) Prove that strong orthogonality implies weak orthogonality. Is the converse also true?
- ii) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}^2$  be stable with respect to stopping, i.e. for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and any stopping time  $\tau$  the stopped process  $A_t^\tau := A_{\tau \wedge t}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Prove that the weak orthogonal complement of any stable subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{M}^2$  is strongly orthogonal, i.e. if  $M$  is weakly orthogonal to any  $N \in \mathcal{A}$ , then it is strongly orthogonal.
- iii) Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$  and let  $I$  be a stochastic integral on  $[0, \infty)$ . Prove that  $MI$  is a martingale.

*Hint:* In part iii) use Doob's Optional Sample Theorem: For any martingale with (right-) continuous sample paths and any two stopping times  $\tau \leq \sigma$ ,

$$X_\tau = E[X_\sigma | \mathcal{F}_\tau]$$

3. Let  $C$  be an observed market price for a call option with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$ . The *implied volatility* is the volatility  $\sigma^*$  that makes the Black-Scholes price the observed market price.
  - i) Prove that the implied volatility is well defined.
  - ii) If the Black-Scholes model were correct, then the implied volatility would be independent of  $K$  and  $T$ . In reality, however, we often observe a *volatility smile* (or smirk), meaning that the implied volatility is a convex function of the strike and/or time to maturity. Explain this phenomena.