

# Interest Rate Modelling and Derivative Pricing

Sebastian Schlenkrich

HU Berlin, Department of Mathematics

WS, 2020/21

# Part I

## Introduction and Preliminaries

# Outline

Introduction and Agenda

Stochastic Calculus Basics

Basic Fixed Income Modelling

# Outline

Introduction and Agenda

Stochastic Calculus Basics

Basic Fixed Income Modelling

# What is this lecture about?

## Interbank swap deal example

Pays 3% on 100mm EUR

Start date: Oct 30, 2020

End date: Oct 30, 2040

(annually, 30/360 day count, modified following, Target calendar)



Pays 6-months Euribor floating rate on 100mm EUR

Start date: Oct 30, 2020

End date: Oct 30, 2040

(semi-annually, act/360 day count, modified following, Target calendar)

Suppose, Bank A may decide to early terminate deal in 10, 11, 12,.. years

How does early termination option affect the present value and risk of the deal?

# Organisational details first

- ▶ Lecture: Fri, 11:15 - 12:45 s.t., online via Zoom
- ▶ Exercises: Fri, 09:15 - 10:45, online via Zoom (every second week)
- ▶ Office times: Individual Zoom calls Fridays on request after the lecture

## Exercises:

- ▶ Discuss and analyse practical examples and theory details
- ▶ Main tool: QuantLib (open source financial library)
- ▶ Python, some Excel

## Requirements:

- ▶ Present at least once during exercises
- ▶ exam planned for February 26, 2021

# Literature and resources you will need

## ▶ Literature

- ▶ L. Andersen and V. Piterbarg. *Interest rate modelling, volume I to III*.  
Atlantic Financial Press, 2010
- ▶ D. Brigo and F. Mercurio. *Interest Rate Models - Theory and Practice*.  
Springer-Verlag, 2007
- ▶ S. Shreve. *Stochastic Calculus for Finance II - Continuous-Time Models*.  
Springer-Verlag, 2004

- ▶ QuantLib web site [www.quantlib.org](http://www.quantlib.org)
- ▶ Official source repository [www.github.com/lballabio](http://www.github.com/lballabio)
- ▶ Some extensions which we might use [www.github.com/sschlenkrich](http://www.github.com/sschlenkrich)
- ▶ <https://www.applied-financial-mathematics.de/interest-rate-modelling-and-derivative-pricing-ws-202021>

# Let's revisit the introductory example

## Interbank swap deal example

### Fixed interest rate

Pays 3% on 100mm EUR

Start date: Oct 30, 2020

End date: Oct 30, 2040

(annually, 30/360 day count, modified following, Target calendar)

Notional

Dates

Market conventions



### Stochastic interest rates

Pays 6-months Euribor floating rate on 100mm EUR

Start date: Oct 30, 2020

End date: Oct 30, 2040

(semi-annually, act/360 day count, modified following, Target calendar)

### Optionalities

Bank A may decide to early terminate deal in 10, 11, 12,.. years



# Agenda covers static yield curve modelling, Vanilla rates models and term structure models

## Interest Rate Modelling

- ▶ Stochastic calculus basics
- ▶ Static yield curve modelling and linear products
- ▶ Vanilla interest rate models
- ▶ HJM term structure modelling framework
- ▶ Classical Hull-White interest rate model
- ▶ Pricing methods for Bermudan swaptions

## Model Calibration

- ▶ Multi-curve yield curve calibration
- ▶ Hull-White model calibration
- ▶ Numerical methods for model calibration

## Sensitivity Calculation

- ▶ Delta and Vega specification
- ▶ Numerical methods for sensitivity calculation

# Outline

Introduction and Agenda

Stochastic Calculus Basics

Basic Fixed Income Modelling

# We will work along three streams

Probability space & filtration

Brownian Motion

Self-financing trading strategy & arbitrage

Radon-Nikodym derivative & change of measure

Ito integral

Equivalent martingale measure & FTAP

Martingale

Martingale representation

Change of equiv. martingale meas.

Density process

Ito's lemma

Permissible trading strategy

Risk-neutral derivative pricing formula

# Outline

## Stochastic Calculus Basics

Measure Theory

Diffusion Processes

General Financial Market Definition

Summary

# Measure theory is independent of financial application

Probability space & filtration

Brownian Motion

Self-financing trading strategy & arbitrage

Radon-Nikodym derivative & change of measure

Ito integral

Equivalent martingale measure & FTAP

Martingale

Martingale representation

Change of equiv. martingale meas.

Density process

Ito's lemma

Permissible trading strategy

Risk-neutral derivative pricing formula

# We start with stochastic processes and probability space

Stochastic process (for assets or interest rate components)

$$X(t) = [X_1(t), \dots, X_p(t)]^\top.$$

Probability space that drives stochastic process  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$

- ▶  $\Omega$  sample space with outcomes  $\omega$  (typically increments of Brownian motions),
- ▶  $\mathcal{F}$   $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ ,
- ▶  $\mathbb{P}$  probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Information flow is realised via filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$

- ▶  $\mathcal{F}_t$  sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}_s$  for  $t \leq s$ ,
- ▶ Assume  $X(t)$  is adapted to filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , i.e.  $X(t)$  is fully observable at time  $t$ .

# Measures can be linked by Radon–Nikodym derivative

## Theorem (Radon–Nikodym derivative)

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  be equivalent probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Then there exists a unique (a.s.) non-negative random variable  $R(\omega)$  with  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[R] = 1$ , such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[R \mathbb{1}_{\{A\}}].$$

$R$  is denoted Radon–Nikodym derivative.

It follows

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A d\hat{\mathbb{P}} = \int_A R d\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[R \mathbb{1}_{\{A\}}].$$

and also for all measurable functions  $X$  (via algebraic induction)

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}}[X] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[R X].$$

Thus we may write

$$R = d\hat{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P}.$$

# We will frequently need the change of measure for conditional expectations

## Definition (Conditional expectation)

Let  $X$  be a random variable. The conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t]$  is defined as the stochastic variable that satisfies:

- ▶  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t]$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and
- ▶ for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$  we have

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X d\mathbb{P}.$$

## Theorem (Baye's rule for conditional expectation)

Let  $R = d\hat{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P}$  be the Radon–Nikodym derivative associated with  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \hat{\mathbb{P}})$  and  $X$  a random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R | \mathcal{F}_t]}.$$



# We sketch the proof for change of measure

## Proof.

We use the definition of conditional expectation and show that (for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ )

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R | \mathcal{F}_t] \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\mathbb{P}.$$

We have for the left side using conditional expectation and Radon–Nikodym derivative

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X R d\mathbb{P} = \int_A X d\hat{\mathbb{P}}.$$

For the right side we get using conditional expectation

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R | \mathcal{F}_t] \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] R | \mathcal{F}_t \right] d\mathbb{P} = \int_A \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] R d\mathbb{P}.$$

Applying Radon–Nikodym derivative and again conditional expectation yields

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] R d\mathbb{P} = \int_A \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] d\hat{\mathbb{P}} = \int_A X d\hat{\mathbb{P}}.$$



# Martingales allow derivation of expected future values

## Sum of squares notation (Frobenius norm, $L^2$ norm for vectors)

For a matrix or vector  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with elements  $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$  we denote

$$|A| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(AA^\top)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}^2}.$$

## Definition (Martingale)

Let  $X(t)$  be an adapted vector-valued process with finite absolute expectation  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [|X(t)|] < \infty$  (under the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ ) for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

$X(t)$  is a martingale under  $\mathbb{P}$  if for all  $t, s \in [0, T]$  with  $t \leq s$

$$X(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X(s) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \quad a.s.$$

- ▶ Typically, martingale property is derived (by other results) for a process.
- ▶ Then we can use martingale property to obtain expectation of future values  $X(T)$ .

# Density process describes change of measure for processes

## Definition (Density process)

Denote  $\zeta(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [d\hat{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P} \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$  the density process of  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  (relative to  $\mathbb{P}$ ).

► Then  $\zeta(t)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale with  $\zeta(0) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\zeta(t)] = 1$ .

## Lemma (Change of measure for processes)

Let  $X(t)$  be a  $\mathcal{F}_t$  measurable random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \frac{\zeta(T)}{\zeta(t)} X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

## Proof.

Recall that  $R = d\hat{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P}$ . We have  $\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R \mid \mathcal{F}_t]}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_T] \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R \mid \mathcal{F}_T] X(T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t].$$

The result follows from the definition of  $\zeta(t)$  via  $\zeta(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$ .



## Density process may be used to define a new measure

Let  $\zeta(t)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale with  $\zeta(0) = 1$ . We choose a final horizon time  $T$  and define the Radon–Nikodym derivative as  $R(\omega) = \zeta(T, \omega)$ .

The corresponding measure  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$  is

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R \mathbb{1}_{\{A\}}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\zeta(T, \omega) \mathbb{1}_{\{A\}}].$$

We show that the density of  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$  indeed equals  $\zeta(t)$ .

Denote  $\bar{\zeta}(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R | \mathcal{F}_t]$  the density of  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ . Then we get with the martingale property of  $\zeta(t)$

$$\bar{\zeta}(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\zeta(T, \omega) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \zeta(t).$$

# Outline

## Stochastic Calculus Basics


Measure Theory

**Diffusion Processes**

General Financial Market Definition

Summary

# Diffusion processes are the basis for our models



# Stochastic process is driven by Brownian motion

## Information is generated by Brownian motion

- ▶  $W(t) = [W_1(t), \dots, W_d(t)]^\top$   $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion.
- ▶  $W_i(\cdot)$  independent of  $W_j(\cdot)$  for  $i \neq j$ .
- ▶ Independent Gaussian increments  $W_i(s) - W_i(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, s - t)$  for  $s \geq t$ .
- ▶ Typically, filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is generated by Brownian motion  $W(\cdot)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{W(u), 0 \leq u \leq t\}$ .

## Definition ( $H^2$ for volatility processes $\sigma$ )

Let  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$  be a volatility process adapted to the filtration generated by  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . We say that  $\sigma$  is in  $H^2$  if for all  $t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \int_0^t |\sigma(s, \omega)|^2 ds \right] < \infty.$$

# Stochastic process is described as Ito process with Ito integral

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \mu(s, \omega) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, \omega) dW(s)$$

or in differential notation

$$dX(t) = \mu(t, \omega) dt + \sigma(t, \omega) dW(t),$$

- ▶ vector-valued drift  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ ,
- ▶ matrix of volatilities  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$ ,
- ▶ assume drift  $\mu$  and volatility  $\sigma$  are adapted to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  and  $\sigma$  is in  $H^2$ .

We consider the Ito integral as

$$\int_0^t \sigma(s, \omega) dW(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(s_{i-1}, \omega) [W(s_i) - W(s_{i-1})], \quad s_i = \frac{i}{n}t.$$



# Ito integrals are important martingales for modelling

## Theorem (Ito Integral properties)

Define the Ito integral  $X(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(u, \omega) dW(u)$  with  $\sigma$  is in  $H^2$ . Then

1.  $X(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable (i.e. we can calculate the distribution of  $X(t)$  using  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ )
2.  $X(t)$  is a continuous martingale
3.  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [ |X(t)|^2 ] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \int_0^t |\sigma(u, \omega)|^2 du \right] < \infty$  (Ito isometry)
4.  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [ X(t)X(s)^\top ] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \int_0^{\min\{t,s\}} \sigma(u, \omega) \sigma(u, \omega)^\top dt \right]$   
(auto-covariance)

# Stochastic processes can be represented as Ito integrals

## Theorem (Martingale representation theorem)

*If  $X(\cdot)$  is a (local) martingale adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$  which is generated by Brownian motion  $W(\cdot)$  then there exists a volatility process  $\sigma(t, \omega)$  such that*

$$dX(t) = \sigma(t, \omega) dW(t).$$

*Moreover, if  $X(\cdot)$  is a square-integrable martingale then  $\sigma$  is in  $H^2$ .*

# Ito's Lemma is one of the most relevant tools

## Theorem (Ito's Lemma)

Let  $X(t)$  be an Ito process and  $f(\cdot)$  a twice continuous differentiable scalar function. Then

$$df(X(t)) = \nabla_X f(X)^\top dX(t) + \frac{1}{2} dX(t)^\top H_X f(x) dX(t)$$

with  $\nabla_X f$  being the gradient of  $f$  and  $H_X f(x)$  being the Hessian of  $f$ .

Here we use calculus  $dW_i(t)dW_i(t) = dt$  and  $dW_i(t)dW_j(t) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

## Corollary (Ito product rule)

Let  $X_1(t)$  and  $X_2(t)$  be scalar Ito processes. Then

$$d[X_1(t)X_2(t)] = X_1(t)dX_2(t) + X_2(t)dX_1(t) + dX_1(t)dX_2(t).$$

# Outline

## Stochastic Calculus Basics

Measure Theory

Diffusion Processes

**General Financial Market Definition**

Summary

# Pricing builds on measure theory and stochastic processes

Probability space & filtration

Brownian Motion

Self-financing trading strategy & arbitrage

Radon-Nikodym derivative & change of measure

Ito integral

Equivalent martingale measure & FTAP

Martingale

Martingale representation

Change of equiv. martingale meas.

Density process

Ito's lemma

Permissible trading strategy

Risk-neutral derivative pricing formula

# We specify our market based on assets and trading strategies

## Financial Market

We assume  $p$  (dividend-free<sup>1</sup>) assets  $X(t) = [X_1(t), \dots, X_p(t)]^\top$  which are driven by Ito processes

$$dX(t) = \mu(t, \omega) dt + \sigma(t, \omega) dW(t).$$

## Trading Strategy

A trading strategy represents a predictable adapted process (of asset weights)

$$\phi(t, \omega) = [\phi_1(t, \omega), \dots, \phi_p(t, \omega)]^\top.$$

The value of the trading strategy (or corresponding portfolio) is

$$\pi(t) = \phi(t)^\top X(t).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>I.e. no intermediate payments

# Self-financing strategies and arbitrage

## Trading Gains and Self-financing Strategy

Trading gains (over a short period of time) are  $\phi(t)^\top [X(t + dt) - X(t)]$ .

This leads to the general specification  $\int_t^T \phi(t)^\top dX(t)$ .

A trading strategy is self-financing if portfolio changes are only induced by asset returns (no money inflow or outflow). That is

$$\pi(T) - \pi(t) = \int_t^T \phi(s)^\top dX(s).$$

## Definition (Arbitrage)

An arbitrage opportunity is a self-financing strategy  $\phi(\cdot)$  with  $\pi(0) = 0$  and, for some  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\pi(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.s., and } \mathbb{P}(\pi(t) > 0) > 0.$$

Arbitrage needs to be precluded in a financial model.

# Absence of arbitrage is closely related to equivalent martingale measures

## Definition (Numeraire and equivalent martingale measure)

A numeraire is a positive asset  $N(t)$  of our market. An equivalent martingale measure (corresponding to the numeraire  $N(t)$ ) is a measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the normalised asset prices  $[X_1(t)/N(t), \dots, X_p(t)/N(t)]^\top$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingales.

## Fundamental theorem of asset pricing

Assuming some restrictions on permissible trading strategies one can show that absence of arbitrage is “nearly equivalent” to the existence of an equivalent martingale measure.

Our models are all based on the assumption of no-arbitrage and the existence of an equivalent martingale measure.



## Equivalent martingale measures exists for any numeraire

Suppose we have a numeraire  $N(t)$  and an equivalent martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}^N$ . Suppose we also have another numeraire  $M(t)$ . Define

$$\zeta(t) = \frac{M(t)}{N(t)} \frac{N(0)}{M(0)}.$$

Then

- ▶  $\mathbb{E}^N [\zeta(T) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}^N \left[ \frac{M(T)}{N(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \frac{N(0)}{M(0)} = \frac{M(t)}{N(t)} \frac{N(0)}{M(0)} = \zeta(t)$ , thus  $\zeta(t)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}^N$ -martingale
- ▶  $\zeta(0) = \frac{M(0)}{N(0)} \frac{N(0)}{M(0)} = 1$

Define the new measure  $\mathbb{Q}^M$  via the density  $\zeta(t)$ . Then for an asset  $X_i(t)$

$$\mathbb{E}^M \left[ \frac{X_i(T)}{M(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbb{E}^N \left[ \frac{\zeta(T)}{\zeta(t)} \frac{X_i(T)}{M(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbb{E}^N \left[ \frac{M(T)}{N(T)} \frac{N(t)}{M(t)} \frac{X_i(T)}{M(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Taking out what is known and using the martingale property of measure  $\mathbb{Q}^N$  yields

$$\mathbb{E}^M \left[ \frac{X_i(T)}{M(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \frac{N(t)}{M(t)} \mathbb{E}^N \left[ \frac{X_i(T)}{N(T)} | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \frac{N(t)}{M(t)} \frac{X_i(t)}{N(t)} = \frac{X_i(t)}{M(t)}.$$

$X_i(t)/M(t)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}^M$ -martingale. Thus  $\mathbb{Q}^M$  is an equivalent martingale measure for  $M(t)$ .

# Trading strategies need to be permissible

## Definition (Permissible trading strategy)

Let  $X(t)$  be an Ito process and  $\mathbb{Q}$  an equivalent martingale measure with numeraire  $N(t)$ . A self-financing trading strategy  $\phi(t)$  is called permissible if

$$\int_0^t \phi(s)^\top d\left(\frac{X(s)}{N(s)}\right)$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale.

Recall that  $X(t)/N(t)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -martingale by construction. If  $\phi(t)$  is sufficiently bounded then it is also permissible.

## Theorem (Martingale property for trading strategies)

*For any self-financing and permissible trading strategy  $\phi(t)$  and an equivalent martingale measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  with numeraire  $N(t)$  the discounted portfolio price process  $\pi(t)/N(t)$  is a martingale.*

On average you can not beat the market when trading in the assets.

# We prove the martingale property for trading strategies

## Proof.

Recall that  $\pi(t) = \phi(t)^\top X(t)$ . The self-financing condition may be written as  $d\pi(t) = \phi(t)^\top dX(t)$ . Applying Ito's product rule yields

$$\begin{aligned}d \left[ \frac{\pi(t)}{N(t)} \right] &= d \left[ \pi(t) \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] = \frac{d\pi(t)}{N(t)} + \pi(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] + d\pi(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] \\&= \frac{\phi(t)^\top dX(t)}{N(t)} + \phi(t)^\top X(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] + \phi(t)^\top dX(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] \\&= \phi(t)^\top \left[ \frac{dX(t)}{N(t)} + X(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] + dX(t) d \left[ \frac{1}{N(t)} \right] \right] \\&= \phi(t)^\top d \left[ \frac{X(t)}{N(t)} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows directly from the condition that  $\phi(t)$  is permissible.



# Derivative pricing is closely related to trading strategies

## Definition (Contingent claim)

A derivative security (or contingent claim) pays at time  $T$  the random variable  $V(T)$  (no intermediate payments). We assume  $V(T)$  has finite variance and is attainable. That is there exists a permissible trading strategy  $\phi(\cdot)$  such that

$$V(T) = \phi(T)^\top X(T) \text{ a.s.}$$

Then absence of arbitrage yields that the fair price  $V(t)$  of the derivative security becomes

$$V(t) = \phi(t)^\top X(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{V(t)}{N(t)} = \frac{\phi(t)^\top X(t)}{N(t)} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{\phi(T)^\top X(T)}{N(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{V(T)}{N(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Above arbitrage pricing formula is the foundation of derivative pricing.

# Outline

## Stochastic Calculus Basics

Measure Theory

Diffusion Processes

General Financial Market Definition

Summary

# We summarize the key results

Probability space & filtration

Brownian Motion

Self-financing trading strategy & arbitrage

Radon-Nikodym derivative & change of measure

Ito integral

Equivalent martingale measure & FTAP

Martingale

Martingale representation

Change of equiv. martingale meas.

Density process

Ito's lemma

Permissible trading strategy

Risk-neutral derivative pricing formula

# We summarize the key results (cheat sheet)

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]$$

$$W(t) = [W_1(t), \dots, W_d(t)]^\top$$

$$d\pi(T) = \phi(t)^\top dX(t)$$

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [X | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [RX | \mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [R | \mathcal{F}_t]}$$

$$X(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(u, \omega) dW(u)$$

$$\frac{X(t)}{N(t)} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{X(T)}{N(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$X(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [X(s) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$dX(t) = \sigma(u, \omega) dW(u)$$

$$\mathbb{E}^M \left[ \frac{X_i(T)}{M(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbb{E}^N \left[ \frac{N(t)}{M(t)} \frac{X_i(T)}{N(T)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$\zeta(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{\mathbb{P}}} [d\hat{\mathbb{P}}/d\mathbb{P} | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$df = f' dX + \frac{f''}{2} dX^2$$

$$\phi(t)^\top d \left[ \frac{X(t)}{N(t)} \right] = \bar{\sigma} dW(t)$$

$$V(t)/N(t) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [V(T)/N(T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

# Outline

Introduction and Agenda

Stochastic Calculus Basics

Basic Fixed Income Modelling



# Outline

## Basic Fixed Income Modelling

Market Setting

Discounted Cash Flow pricing

# First we need to specify the assets in the market

## Example (Overnight bank account)

- ▶ Suppose bank A deposits 1 EUR at ECB at time  $T_0 = 0$  (today) with the right to withdraw money at  $T_1$ , say the next day.
- ▶ Bank A may leave deposit with ECB as long as they want
- ▶ Time  $T_i$  is measured in years (or year fraction) for simplicity
- ▶ ECB pays annualized interest rate  $r_i$  from  $T_i$  to  $T_{i+1}$

Example also holds for deposits between two banks, e.g. bank A and bank B.

What is the value of the deposit at a future time  $T_N$ ?

Denote  $B_i$  the value of the deposit at time  $T_i$ . Then

$$B_0 = 1$$

and

$$B_i = B_{i-1} + r_{i-1} \cdot (T_i - T_{i-1}) \cdot B_{i-1} = [1 + r_{i-1} (T_i - T_{i-1})] \cdot B_{i-1}.$$

# The most basic asset is the money market bank account

## Definition (Short rate and (abstract) bank account)

Assume a process  $r(t)$  (adapted to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t$ ) for the instantaneous interest rate. The rate  $r(t)$  is denoted the short rate. The continuous compounded bank account (or money market account) is an asset with price  $B(t)$  given by  $B(0) = 1$  and

$$dB(t) = r(t) \cdot B(t) \cdot dt.$$

It follows that the future price of the bank account becomes

$$B(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t r(s) ds \right\}.$$

Short rate  $r(t)$  is considered the *risk-free rate* at which market participants can lend and borrow money.

# The most relevant assets are zero coupon bonds (ZCBs)

ZCBs are fixed future cash flows of unit notional, e.g. 1 EUR in 10y.

## Definition (Zero Coupon Bond)

A zero coupon bond for maturity  $T$  is an asset with time- $t$  asset price  $P(t, T)$  for  $t \leq T$  and  $P(T, T) = 1$ .

What is the time- $t$  asset price of a zero coupon bond?

Use risk-neutral pricing formula!

Select money market account  $B(t)$  as numeraire and denote  $\mathbb{Q}$  the equivalent martingale measure.

Then

$$\frac{P(t, T)}{B(t)} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{P(T, T)}{B(T)} \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [B(T)^{-1}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \int_0^T r(s) ds \right\} \right].$$

Multiplying with  $B(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t r(s) ds \right\}$  yields

$$P(t, T) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \int_t^T r(s) ds \right\} \right].$$

## And what is the ZCB price in terms of money ...?

- ▶ Formula  $P(t, T) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \int_t^T r(s) ds \right\} \right]$  is a model-independent result
- ▶ To calculate it more concrete we need to specify a model/dynamics for short rate  $r(t)$
- ▶ Suppose short rate is known deterministic function, then

$$P(t, T) = \exp \left\{ - \int_t^T r(s) ds \right\}.$$

- ▶ Suppose short rate is fixed, i.e.  $r(t) = r_0$ , then (even simpler)

$$P(t, T) = e^{-r_0(T-t)}.$$

For our market we assume that today's prices  $P(0, T)$  of all ZCBs (with maturity  $T \geq 0$ ) are known.

# Interest rate market consists of money market bank account and zero coupon bonds

## Interest rate market

We consider a market consisting of the money market account  $B(t)$  and zero coupon bonds  $P(t, T)$  for  $t \leq T$  as financial assets.

## Interest rate derivatives

Interest rate derivatives are contingent claims (or baskets of contingent claims) depending on realisations of future zero coupon bonds.

- ▶ We may restrict modelling to discrete set of ZCBs  $\{P(t, T_i)\}_i$  (vanilla models).
- ▶ Full continuum of ZCBs  $\{P(t, T) \mid t \leq T\}$  is modelled via term structure models.


# Outline

## Basic Fixed Income Modelling

Market Setting

Discounted Cash Flow pricing

# Discounted cash flow (DCF) pricing methodology ...



$$\frac{V(t)}{B(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ \frac{V_i}{B(T_i)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

Denote  $\mathbb{E}^{T_i}[\cdot]$  expectation(s) in  $T_i$ -forward measure(s) with zero coupon bond numeraire  $P(t, T_i)$ .

Then (change of measure)


$$\frac{V(t)}{B(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}^{T_i} \left[ \frac{P(t, T_i)}{B(t)} \cdot \frac{V_i}{P(T_i, T_i)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

Thus with  $P(T_i, T_i) = 1$  follows

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N P(t, T_i) \cdot \mathbb{E}^{T_i} [V_i \mid \mathcal{F}_t].$$



## (DCF) ... is a model-independent concept



$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N P(t, T_i) \cdot \mathbb{E}^{T_i} [V_i | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- ▶ Present value is sum of discounted expected future cash flows
- ▶ If future cash flows are known (i.e. deterministic), then

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N P(t, T_i) \cdot V_i$$

- ▶ In general, challenge lies in calculating  $\mathbb{E}^{T_i} [V_i | \mathcal{F}_t]$  using a model

# Contact

Dr. Sebastian Schlenkrich

Office: RUD25, R 1.211

Mail: [sebastian.schlenkrich@hu-berlin.de](mailto:sebastian.schlenkrich@hu-berlin.de)

d-fine GmbH

Mobile: +49-162-263-1525

Mail: [sebastian.schlenkrich@d-fine.de](mailto:sebastian.schlenkrich@d-fine.de)

